

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE                               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/150,010                    | 09/09/1998                                | TORU MATAMA          | 1110-0202P              | 5773                    |  |
| 2292 7                        | 7590 09/16/2003                           |                      |                         |                         |  |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH |   |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
|                               | PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                      | NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG     |                         |  |
|                               |   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                               |   |                      | 2612                    | 11                      |  |
|                               |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003 | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Im

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|---|
| Advisory Action  | 09/150,010   | MATAMA, TORU  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | LUONG T NGUYEN   | 2612  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in   |
| PERIOD FOR I   | REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perio fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 | is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI<br>the date on which the petition under 37 CF<br>of of extension and the corresponding amount<br>of the shortened statutory period for reply<br>office later than three months after the main<br>treatment of the shortened statutory period for reply<br>office later than three months after the main stater the main stater than three months after the main three months after the main stater than three months after the main stater than the stater than three months after the main stater than the stat | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C  |  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered   | because:   |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require fur   | ther consideration and/or search (   | see NOTE below);  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note   | e below);  |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n in better form for appeal by mate  | erially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d) they present additional claims without canc  | eling a corresponding number of f  | inally rejected claims.   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.   | ection(s):   |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | lld be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because:   |  | idered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ecause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims   |  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows  | s:   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is a)  approved or b) disapp   | roved by the Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem   | nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).[/  | <u>'</u> A  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The newly added limitation "primarirly" in claim 1 changes the scope of the claim. It raises new issue that would require further consideration and /or serach.